



Our Ref: 000158/21

Your Ref:

Address Correspondence to: Information Management

Date 08 February 2021

Freedom of Information Act 2000

I write further to your request for information received 13/01/2021.

I note you seek access to the following information:

How many of the following operational vehicles did your police force have for each year from 2010 through to 2020:

- *Police Cars*
- *Police Motorcycles*
- *Police Vans*
- *Police Helicopters*

Following receipt of your request, searches were conducted within Leicestershire Police to locate information relevant to your request.

Your request for information has now been considered and the information asked for is as follows: -

Leicestershire Police are only able to provide this information from 2014-2020 as we do not hold this information prior to that.

Year	Cars	Motorcycles	Vans
2014	324	8	77
2015	322	8	73
2016	333	8	72
2017	338	7	71
2018	358	10	74
2019	340	7	70
2020	360	9	75

Helicopters are owned and run by the National Police Air Service, details can be found on their website:

<https://www.npas.police.uk/>

Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 requires Leicestershire Constabulary, when refusing to provide such information (because the information is exempt) to provide you the applicant with a notice which: (a) states that fact, (b)

specifies the exemption in question and (c) states (if that would not otherwise be apparent) why the exemption applies

The exemption applicable relate to your request are as follows:

- Section 31 – Law Enforcement

Section 31 is a prejudice based, qualified exemption and there is a requirement to articulate the harm that would be caused as well as carrying out a public interest test.

Public Interest Test

The public interest is not what interests the public, but what will be of greater good if released to the community as a whole. It is not in the public interest to disclose information that may compromise Leicestershire Police's ability to fulfil its core functions.

Section 31 – Evidence of Harm

Under the Act, we cannot, and do not request the motives of any application for information. We have no doubt that the vast majority of requests made under the Act are legitimate.

However, in disclosing information to one applicant, we are expressing a willingness to provide it to anyone in the world. This means that a disclosure to a genuinely interested and concerned person automatically opens it up for a similar disclosure, including those who would use the information to gain an advantage over the police.

In considering whether or not this information should be disclosed, consideration has been given to the potential harm that could be caused by disclosure.

It is recognized that public awareness favours disclosure to allow members of the public to have an idea of vehicles held in our fleet. However I would contend that efficient and effective conduct of the Police service and public safety favours retention of information relating to numbers of covert vehicles owned by the Force.

Section 31 – Considerations favouring disclosure

There is a public interest in disclosure of the requested information as knowledge is already in the public domain that covert unmarked vehicles are used within the Police service. The information requested would enable the public to see how public funds are spent during the course of operational policing and allow them to scrutinise the areas funds are spent in and the police's ability to react to certain crimes.

Section 31 – Considerations favouring non - disclosure

As you will appreciate a proportion of vehicles for certain purposes will be unmarked covert vehicles, if the numbers of such vehicles were released to the world this could enable those minded to commit criminal activity knowledge to aid in their efforts.

Providing the numbers of covert unmarked vehicles would allow those who are part of the criminal fraternity to have knowledge of our capabilities and numbers in Policing crimes that are being covertly investigated.

In turn this would prejudice substantially the ability for our officers to prevent and detect crime and apprehend offenders.

Conclusion

I believe that on balance it is **not** in the public interest to release the further information which you have requested on this occasion for the reasons stated above.

I appreciate that this is not the decision you had hoped for but I must consider the wider position under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 which I feel necessitates the need to refuse your request under this legislation.

Leicestershire Police provides you the right to ask for a re-examination of your request under its review procedure. Letters should be addressed to Information Manager, Corporate Services Department at the above address. If you decide to request such a review and having followed the Force's full process you are still dissatisfied, then you have the right to direct your comments to the Information Commissioner who will give it consideration.

Yours sincerely

Freedom of Information Officer
Leicestershire Police

Leicestershire Police in complying with their statutory duty under sections 1 and 11 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 to release the enclosed information will not breach the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. However, the rights of the copyright owner of the enclosed information will continue to be protected by law.

Applications for the copyright owner's written permission to reproduce any part of the attached information should be addressed to The Information Manager, Leicestershire Police Headquarters, St. Johns, Enderby, Leicester LE19 2BX.